

Appendix 6.2 Relative Abundance (%) of Major Taxa at 6 Study Sites from HATS EEFS WP9 (2004)

Sites	Polychaeta	Crustacean	Anthozoa	Echinodermata	Mollusca	Others
Sandy Bay	29.5	25.7	5.7	35.2	1.4	2.4

Data are extracted from HATS EEFS WP9 (EPD, 2004)

Appendix 6.3 Relative Biomass (%) of Major Taxa in the Study Sites from HATS EEFS WP9 (2004)

Sites	Polychaeta	Crustacean	Anthozoa	Echinodermata	Mollusca	Others
Sandy Bay	2.6	24.6	0.0	66.2	6.4	0.2

Data are extracted from HATS EEFS WP9 (EPD, 2004)

Appendix 6.4 Relative Abundance of the 5 Most Dominant Species at East Site from HATS EEFS WP9 (2004)

Species	Relative Abundance (%)
Sandy Bay	
<i>Ophiactis</i> sp.	23.81
<i>Alpheus</i> spp.	11.90
<i>Aglaophamus</i> sp.1	5.71
<i>Lobophytum</i> sp.	4.29
<i>Amphioplus</i> spp.	3.81

Data are extracted from HATS EEFS WP9 (EPD, 2004)

Appendix 6.5 Relative Biomass of the 5 Most Dominant Species at Each Sampling Site from HATS EEFS WP9 (2004)

Species	Relative Abundance (%)
Sandy Bay	
<i>Echinogorgia</i> sp.	90.80
<i>Lobophytum</i> sp.	4.35
<i>Comanthus</i> sp.	2.75
<i>Ophionereis</i> sp.	0.45
<i>Scalopdia</i> sp.	0.32

Data are extracted from HATS EEFS WP9 (EPD 2004)

Appendix 6.6 Community Structure of 6 Sandy Bay from HATS EEFS WP9 (2004)

	Sandy Bay
Mean number of individual /grab	14.00
Mean weight of biomass g/grab	59.84
Mean number of families / grab	5.93
Mean number of genera /grab	5.93
H'	0.638
D	0.659

Data are extracted from HATS EEFS WP9 (EPD 2004)